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ABSTRACT

In December 1983 the Center for Archaeological Research conducted test excavations at HemisFair Plaza in downtown San Antonio. The purpose of the work was to locate and document the condition of the Alamo Acequia (41 BX 8), which was known to be in the area. Backhoe and hand excavations revealed that the acequia deviated as much as 20 feet from the supposed route and that the original property lines through the area could not be depended upon to locate the precise route of the ditch. The section of the acequia that was examined proved to be only partially preserved, apparently having been robbed of stone either in the early 20th century or during HemisFair construction.
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INTRODUCTION

In December 1983, the firm of Ford Powell and Carson, Inc., requested the Center for Archaeological Research, The University of Texas at San Antonio, to do limited archaeological testing at HemisFair Plaza, located three blocks south of Alamo Plaza in downtown San Antonio. The Center then entered into a contract with the City of San Antonio to do the work. This was the second phase of what will be a multiphased investigation of the HemisFair site in advance of extensive development work planned by the City in this area (see Fig. 1). Phase I was a literature and archival study (Cox and Fox 1983). The purpose of the second phase of work was to locate the exact route and assess the present condition of the Alamo Acequia (41 BX 8), or irrigation ditch, which is buried beneath the HemisFair site (Fig. 1). This work was done under Texas Antiquities Committee Permit No. 396 in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended and the Council of Texas Archaeologists’ "Guidelines for Cultural Resource Management Reports."

The project was conducted under the general supervision of Dr. Thomas R. Hester, principal investigator and Jack D. Eaton, co-principal investigator. Anne A. Fox served as co-principal investigator and field director. The field work of locating and recording the acequia was done by Technical Staff Assistants Ralph Snavely and David Hafernik, under the supervision of the author. Three days were required to complete the work, which was done in two stages, on December 14 and 15, 1983, and February 15, 1984. The work in February was done with the aid of a backhoe. All notes, photographs, and artifacts are stored at the laboratory of the Center for Archaeological Research.

RESEARCH DESIGN

The history of the construction and use of the Alamo Acequia Madre, or main ditch, has been summarized by Schuetz (1970:1-2) and Cox and Fox (1983:14-15). The acequia was constructed between 1720 and 1724 as an open, unlined ditch to irrigate the fields of Mission San Antonio de Valero (later to be called the Alamo). Leaving the San Antonio River in what is now Brackenridge Park, the acequia ran east of the mission, where a branch provided water to the mission compound, then rejoined the main ditch just north of Goliad Street in HemisFair Plaza (Fig. 1) and returned to the river south of the King William area. The portion of the ditch that ran through the developed section of town was lined with cut stone in the early 19th century. The acequia was finally abandoned and filled between 1896 and 1904 (Cox and Fox 1983:16). A section of the acequia was excavated in 1966 (Schuetz 1970:1-13) and was filled with water as a feature in the patio of the Spanish Pavilion during HemisFair. When the fair was under construction, the route of the acequia could readily be traced by observing the stone walls which line the ditch, about 50 feet of which were visible at the surface of the ground within the triangle formed at that time by South Alamo, Goliad, and Water Streets (Schuetz 1970:3; Fig. 1). The southern part of the Spanish Pavilion and other structures were then built over the acequia, effectively hiding its location.
Figure 1. Map of HemisFair Plaza Showing Proposed Development Area. (Cox and Fox 1983:Fig. 1).
Unfortunately, the location of the acequia had not been accurately mapped while it was still visible. There are numerous early maps of San Antonio which show its approximate location, however. Two Sanborn insurance maps (Sanborn Map and Publishing Company, Ltd. 1896 and 1904) appeared to be quite accurate in this regard, leading us to believe that it would be fairly simple to find the stone-lined acequia by plotting its location on the ground and trenching across it. An accurate map of the HemisFair grounds was obtained, and the route of the ditch was transferred onto this map from the insurance maps. Armed with this composite map, Schuetz’s recorded observations of the appearance and contents of the acequia, and the author’s observations as a participant in the 1966 excavations, the crew felt well prepared to begin the field work, which was planned to consist of hand excavation of trenches perpendicular to the acequia route.

RESULTS

On December 14, 1983, the archaeological crew laid out a three-foot-wide trench across an open, grassy area 35 feet southwest of the south wall of the old Spanish Pavilion, which today houses the Transportation Museum (Fig. 2, Trench 2). A line estimated to be about the centerline of the acequia was established perpendicular to the south wall of the Transportation Museum. The trench was located so as to cross the projected acequia route. A 10-foot section at the west end of this trench was excavated by hand, using picks and shovels, to a depth of ca. 20 inches. The soils consisted of six inches of sand, below which was a thin layer of dark brown clay over an eight-inch layer of pea gravel in a dark brown soil matrix. Beneath this was a layer of river cobbles which sat on a sheet of disintegrating polyethylene. Below the plastic was what appeared to be the original ground surface, a hard, dark gray to black clayey soil into which were pressed fragments of aqua bottle glass and animal bone. Cleaning off an inch of this soil, we found that the deposit was sterile, and that there had been no disturbance into this sterile soil along the entire length of this 10-foot section.

We then moved 6.5 feet east of the first section along the line of the trench and excavated another test unit ca. six feet long. The same sequence of sand, gravel, and dark brown soil with cobbles and plastic was found in this section of the trench. Beneath the plastic, however, at 19 inches there was a deposit of building rubble consisting of glazed ceramic tiles, mortar, and thick, unglazed tiles in a tan, caliche-like deposit. There were also a few late 19th-century household items such as a lavender glass mug handle, a white glass canning jar lid, a white glass button, and a fragment of a clear glass bottle. These objects resembled the sorts of materials found in the section of the acequia excavated in 1966 (Schuetz 1970:7-13).

Returning the next day, we began to excavate what we believed to be the acequia, only to find that the deposit was only a few inches thick and bottomed out on the same dark brown sterile clay running undisturbed the length of the trench. At this point we had moved some 50 cubic feet of soil and still had not found the acequia. We adjourned to the laboratory to restudy the problem.
This page has been redacted because it contains restricted information.
On February 15, 1984, the crew returned to the HemisFair site, this time with a backhoe. Three trenches were dug, two across the general route of the acequia (Fig. 2) and one across the route of a lateral ditch (which was fed by the acequia) north of the Transportation Museum (Fig. 3).

Trench 1 was laid out across the point where the maps showed the acequia should cross the intersection of South Alamo and Lavaca Streets (which became the general location of Durango Street when HemisFair was built). Near the west end of the trench an anomaly was recorded which consisted of an irregular intrusion of dark clay into the fairly regular caliche bedrock formation (Figs. 2 and 4). The only artifacts observed in the entire length of the trench were a few fragments of bottle glass and window pane glass and a sherd of white-banded yellow ware (see Appendix). Time did not allow further searching for the acequia in this area.

Trench 2 was a reexcavation and extension of the test units excavated in December. Starting at the west end of the original trench, where the acequia was purported to be located, we deepened the trench by several feet and found, as we had first postulated, that the area was totally undisturbed below the HemisFair construction level. Continuing the trench east beyond our second test unit, we began to find random cut limestone blocks at ca. 20 inches below the surface, and a deposit of packed, sterile caliche. Taking over from the backhoe, the crew excavated the caliche deposit and found it to be a lens of fill over the top and into the channel of the acequia. The combined evidence of the remaining limestone wall at the east end of the trench and the deposit of artifacts (see Appendix) to the west of this wall still appearing at five feet below the surface (Fig. 3), convinced us that we had finally found the Alamo Acequia. The west wall of the ditch appeared to be in bad condition, perhaps due to disturbance and/or stone robbing during HemisFair construction. Schuetz (1970:5) remarked that the top course of stone was missing from the section excavated in 1966, and the east wall in that area had been removed down to the bottom two courses in one section. It may be that the damage in the area we were examining had also been done previous to 1966. All of the intrusive fill above the original ground surface was apparently brought in either as part of the construction effort for the fair or in an attempt to fill in a low area in more recent times after some of the fair buildings had been dismantled.

Trench 3 was located across the route of a lateral ditch which once ran to the northwest off the main acequia, toward Alamo Street (Fig. 1). This lateral was seen on early maps primarily as a peculiar angle in the boundary line between properties in this area. While examining the early documents in preparation for the Phase I history, Cox discovered a reference to the lateral in an appraisal of the property of Jose de la Baume in 1841 (Alamo Abstract & Title Guaranty Company 1926). The backhoe trench was planned to cross the lateral and yet to be in an unpaved area so as to avoid trenching through pavement. The only such spot which was readily reached by the backhoe was a grassy area to the east of the Mayer Halff house and south of the Convention Center (Fig. 3). Examination of the walls of the trench revealed the location of the lateral ditch, toward the south end of the trench (Fig. 4). As had been expected, the ditch is not stone lined but merely a rather shallow trench dug into the soil. Apparently the top section was truncated by grading for HemisFair, eliminating the usual mound of earth
Figure 3. Map Showing Location of Trench 3.
Figure 4. Profiles of Trenches 1, 2, and 3.
on either side created by annual ditch cleaning. There is no way to be sure
how much of the original ditch was also removed at the time of the grading,
and therefore how deep it was originally. Water for irrigation of the lands
to the west of the Alamo Acequia was allowed to flow into this ditch through
a control gate for a certain number of hours each week on a regular schedule,
depending upon the amount of land to be irrigated (Glick 1972:41-43). No
artifacts were found in the ditch fill, which consisted of a loose accumula­
tion of caliche, clay, and wood fragments, but numerous fragments of red and
yellow brick and rusted metal and one sherd of white earthenware decorated
with a contemporary transfer design (see Appendix) were found in the layer of
soil above the graded surface level (Fig. 4).

RECOMMENDATIONS

From the results of our investigations, it appears that the Alamo Acequia
(41 BX 8) is still in sufficiently good condition to be used as a basis for
restoration of a larger section of the channel, at least in the area directly
south of the Transportation Museum. The fact that it does not run in a
straight line from the section excavated in 1966 to the intersection of South
Alamo and Durango Streets may create something of a challenge to the
architects. It will probably be necessary to return to the area with a
backhoe to determine what happens to the route of the acequia south of
Trench 2, if the ditch is to be included in architectural plans. It is not
possible at this time to determine what effect, if any, the construction of
the Spanish Pavilion had on the acequia walls.

In the Phase I report (Cox and Fox 1983:17) we stated that the Alamo Acequia
meets at least four important criteria for nomination to the National
Register of Historic Places. Very briefly, these would include:
(1) association with events that have made a significant contribution to the
broad patterns of our history; (2) association with the lives of persons
significant in our past; (3) possesses distinctive characteristics of a type,
period, or method of construction; and (4) have yielded, or may be likely to
yield, information important in history. This investigation has served to
reinforce our opinion, and we recommend that the City of San Antonio proceed
with the nomination of the acequia. Using the same criteria, we also recom­
mand that the acequia be made a State Archeological Landmark. We further
recommend that monitoring of all construction which may impact the acequia is
essential when the work begins on the HemisFair remodeling project.
Particularly sensitive areas which should receive special care are the inter­
section of the two branches of the acequia, just north of Goliad Street and
that of the lateral with the west branch of the acequia to the northwest
(Fig. 1). Control gates are likely to be still in place at these two
locations, details of the construction of which would be of considerable
historical interest. We would also urge the city at this time to consider
the nomination of the other structures within the "Historic Triangle" on the
HemisFair Plaza to the National Register.
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APPENDIX

ARTIFACTS RECOVERED

The artifacts from the three trenches could be confidently dated to the late 19th century to the early 20th century. Those from Trench 1, Level 1 of Trench 2, and Trench 3 were the type of artifacts generally found on the ground around late 19th-century houses and in dirt brought in to level yards for landscaping. Those from Level 2 of Trench 2 consisted of household discards for the most part, such as broken dishes, utility wares used in the kitchen, flower pots such as would have been used in the house or yard, and the spring from a mattress or furniture cushion. Also present were a few wire nails, some clay tile, and fragments of window glass that may represent repairs to a building in the vicinity. The turn of the century date for this artifact collection confirms the 1896 to 1904 date for the abandonment and filling of the acequia in the HemisFair area.

**Trench 1** (brown sandy loam, Fig. 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yellow ware</td>
<td>bowl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>clear glass</td>
<td>cylindrical bottle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>flat glass</td>
<td>window pane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Trench 2, Level 1** (dark brown soil with cobbles, Fig. 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>undecorated porcelain</td>
<td>indeterminate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>white glass</td>
<td>jar lid liner, &quot;---YORK&quot; (Fig. 5,a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>clear glass</td>
<td>cylindrical bottle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>lavender glass</td>
<td>mug handle (Fig. 5,f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>flat glass</td>
<td>window pane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>white glass button</td>
<td>2-hole, 5/8-inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>clay tile, yellow tan</td>
<td>flat paving tile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Trench 2, Level 2** (dark brown clay with artifacts, Fig. 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>undecorated white earthenware</td>
<td>plates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>gold-banded white earthenware</td>
<td>plate/saucer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>undecorated ironstone earthenware</td>
<td>plate, cup, pitcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>undecorated white porcelain</td>
<td>saucer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>hand-painted porcelain</td>
<td>cup/mug, aqua band, green and rose (Fig. 5,b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>yellow ware</td>
<td>mixing bowl (Fig. 5,c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5. Sample of Artifacts Recovered.  

a, fragment of white glass jar lid liner;  
b, hand-painted porcelain cup or mug sherd;  
c, yellow ware mixing bowl sherd;  
d, green, ribbed tumbler fragment;  
e, hand-blown aqua bottle fragment;  
f, lavender glass mug handle.
### Trench 2, Level 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>stoneware</td>
<td>jug/crock, salt glaze and Albany slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>stoneware</td>
<td>jug, salt glaze and Albany slip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>unglazed earthenware</td>
<td>flowerpot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>unglazed earthenware</td>
<td>flowerpot saucer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>brown glass</td>
<td>cylindrical bottles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>brown glass</td>
<td>square bottle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>aqua glass</td>
<td>cylindrical bottle, thin, hand blown (Fig. 5,e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>aqua glass</td>
<td>cylindrical bottle, soda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>blue green glass</td>
<td>coke bottle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&quot;black glass&quot;</td>
<td>cylindrical bottle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>olive glass</td>
<td>wine bottle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>green-tinted glass</td>
<td>tumbler, ribbed (Fig. 5,d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>clear glass</td>
<td>indeterminate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>clear glass</td>
<td>cylindrical bottle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>clear glass</td>
<td>cylindrical bottle, screw top</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>clear glass</td>
<td>panel bottle, seam through lip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>aqua</td>
<td>panel bottle, whole: DR.PIERCE'S MEDICAL DISCOVERY, BUFFALO, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>shell button</td>
<td>2-hole, 1/2-inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>flat glass</td>
<td>window pane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>stoneware</td>
<td>sewer tile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>unglazed ceramic</td>
<td>building tile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>wire spring</td>
<td>bed/couch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>wire</td>
<td>3/16-inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>wire nails</td>
<td>2-1/2 inch, 3 inch, and 4 inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>heavy iron object</td>
<td>unidentified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Trench 3 (gray clay loam with artifacts, Fig. 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>white earthenware, blue transfer ware</td>
<td>shallow bowl contemporary design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>