1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.  
   - **5 - Strongly Agree** 69.2% 15.4% 15.4% 0% 0%
   - **1 - Strongly Disagree**
   - **n=26**  
   - **av.=4.54**  
   - **dev.=0.76**

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.  
   - **5 - Strongly Agree** 61.5% 23.1% 15.4% 0% 0%
   - **1 - Strongly Disagree**
   - **n=26**  
   - **av.=4.46**  
   - **dev.=0.76**

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.  
   - **5 - Strongly Agree** 73.1% 19.2% 7.7% 0% 0%
   - **1 - Strongly Disagree**
   - **n=26**  
   - **av.=4.65**  
   - **dev.=0.63**

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.  
   - **5 - Strongly Agree** 69.2% 19.2% 11.5% 0% 0%
   - **1 - Strongly Disagree**
   - **n=26**  
   - **av.=4.58**  
   - **dev.=0.7**

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.  
   - **5 - Strongly Agree** 65.4% 19.2% 15.4% 0% 0%
   - **1 - Strongly Disagree**
   - **n=26**  
   - **av.=4.5**  
   - **dev.=0.76**

6. My overall rating of the course is:  
   - **5 - Excellent** 46.2% 30.8% 23.1% 0% 0%
   - **1 - Poor**
   - **n=26**  
   - **av.=4.23**  
   - **dev.=0.82**

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:  
   - **5 - Excellent** 61.5% 19.2% 19.2% 0% 0%
   - **1 - Poor**
   - **n=26**  
   - **av.=4.42**  
   - **dev.=0.81**
Histogram for scaled questions

1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and goals.  
   
   1 - Strongly Disagree  
   5 - Strongly Agree  
   
   n = 26  
   av. = 4.54  
   dev. = 0.76

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.  
   
   1 - Strongly Disagree  
   5 - Strongly Agree  
   
   n = 26  
   av. = 4.46  
   dev. = 0.76

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.  
   
   1 - Strongly Disagree  
   5 - Strongly Agree  
   
   n = 26  
   av. = 4.65  
   dev. = 0.63

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.  
   
   1 - Strongly Disagree  
   5 - Strongly Agree  
   
   n = 26  
   av. = 4.58  
   dev. = 0.7

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.  
   
   1 - Strongly Disagree  
   5 - Strongly Agree  
   
   n = 26  
   av. = 4.5  
   dev. = 0.76

6. My overall rating of the course is:  
   
   1 - Poor  
   5 - Excellent  
   
   n = 26  
   av. = 4.23  
   dev. = 0.82

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:  
   
   1 - Poor  
   5 - Excellent  
   
   n = 26  
   av. = 4.42  
   dev. = 0.81
x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 6. My overall rating of the course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 2. The instructor communicated information effectively.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree
y: 3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

y: 4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

y: 5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor
# Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subunit:</th>
<th>College of Liberal and Fine Arts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of the instructor:</td>
<td>Matthias Hofferberth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of the course:</td>
<td>33241 POL 3763 01F Globalization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.  
   - 5 - Strongly Agree  
   - 1 - Strongly Disagree  
   - n=26  
   - av.=4.54  
   - md=5.00  
   - dev.=0.76

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.  
   - 5 - Strongly Agree  
   - 1 - Strongly Disagree  
   - n=26  
   - av.=4.46  
   - md=5.00  
   - dev.=0.76

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.  
   - 5 - Strongly Agree  
   - 1 - Strongly Disagree  
   - n=26  
   - av.=4.65  
   - md=5.00  
   - dev.=0.63

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.  
   - 5 - Strongly Agree  
   - 1 - Strongly Disagree  
   - n=26  
   - av.=4.58  
   - md=5.00  
   - dev.=0.70

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.  
   - 5 - Strongly Agree  
   - 1 - Strongly Disagree  
   - n=26  
   - av.=4.50  
   - md=5.00  
   - dev.=0.76

6. My overall rating of the course is:  
   - 5 - Excellent  
   - 1 - Poor  
   - n=26  
   - av.=4.23  
   - md=4.00  
   - dev.=0.82

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:  
   - 5 - Excellent  
   - 1 - Poor  
   - n=26  
   - av.=4.42  
   - md=5.00  
   - dev.=0.81
Your written comments are an important part of your course and instructor evaluation. Please provide feedback in the box below on aspects of the course and/or the instructor that were most effective or need improvement. Student comments will be provided to your instructor only after course grades have been submitted. The instructor may, but is not obligated to, share these comments with others or include them in any evaluation process.

- Excellent class and material, the fact that the instructor was from Germany provided a new aspect to the class that was hugely beneficial and had not seen before at UTSA. The instructor challenged you to engage in the classroom discussion which made sure you were paying attention to the course content, I wish more UTSA instructors used the same method.

- Good class. I now know what globalization means. :)

- Great class! Definitely would love to take another class with Dr. Hofferberth again!

- Great summer course. Everything ran smoothly.

- He really makes a tremendous effort in engaging us with our classmates to learn. Overall class was a wonderful experience and the method did teach me a lot.

- He’s a wonderful instructor and utilizes a different style of teaching that I’m not used to in a typical classroom. The utilization of student interaction greatly benefits my learning experience. Globalization with professor Hofferberth gets an A+ from me.

- I REALLY DID ENJOY HIS CLASS. TAKING A 5 WEEK COURSE AND WORKING A FULL TIME JOB CAN BE EXTREMELY DIFFICULT BUT PROFESSOR MATHIAS MADE IT VERY EASY TO UNDERSTAND, HE DISCUSSED EVERY ASPECT CAREFULLY AND THOROUGHLY AND HE ALSO KEPT THE CLASS OPENLY ENGAGED. HONESTLY, POLITICAL SCIENCE CAN BE A TOUGH MAJOR, HOWEVER, MY GLOBALIZATION CLASS REMINDED ME WHY I CHOSE MY MAJOR INITIALLY.

- Overall I believe Mr. Hofferberth did excellent job teaching this course. He came into each class period with a detailed outline about what we would be covering and was able to speak knowledgeably about each subject he spoke about. He made himself accessible to his students and really cared about the well being of each of his students. I thoroughly enjoyed this course and highly recommend him as a professor.

- Overall, I enjoyed the class, particularly how he encourages group work and debate. If I have one complaint, it would be the time periods for the first essay was pretty short, but that can presumably be blamed on the 5-week course period.

- Overall, course was good. Topic is tough to get engaged with, but professor Hofferberth did a good job keeping the class engaged.

- The course is very enjoyable to discuss in class yet it is difficult to take exams in his class. 45 min exam with 45 questions with multiple answers is too much in a little time. Makes it impossible to put any thought in a difficult question.

- The instructor's student-based learning approach is effective in learning the material.

- The professor's use of group work and group discussions helped to keep students involved and engaged with the sometimes complex and nebulous Globalization topics. The professor was always prepared with a daily plan of instruction. The course syllabus and the class instruction were very much in sync as well.

- The unique way of encouraging student involvement during the class as group exercises in order to move the discussion forward was a great way to commit information to memory, especially during a summer semester. The classes flowed into each other perfectly and continuously emphasized the points made from pervious lectures, reinforcing the learning experience. Overall, the classroom interaction and three assignments are a perfect balance to each other; granted the first essay had some terrible time constraints, it can be chalked up to the short summer. Great class, I feel a great understanding for the broad concept of globalization and that it is in fact a disputed concept, not an absolute.

- needed more assignments to help our grade. 3,500 word essay is ridiculous.....2,000 it almost to much. other than that you were a great teacher.
Survey Results

Legend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.</td>
<td>62.5% 25% 0% 12.5% 0%</td>
<td>50% 25% 12.5% 12.5% 0%</td>
<td>87.5% 0% 12.5% 0% 0%</td>
<td>75% 12.5% 12.5% 0% 0%</td>
<td>62.5% 25% 12.5% 12.5% 0%</td>
<td>37.5% 50% 0% 12.5% 0%</td>
<td>62.5% 25% 0% 12.5% 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The instructor communicated information effectively.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. My overall rating of the course is:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- n=No. of responses
- av.=Mean
- dev.=Std. Dev.
- ab.=Abstention

Scale
- 5
- 4
- 3
- 2
- 1

Histogram
1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and

   ![Histogram](histogram1.png)

   1. Strongly Disagree 5 - Strongly Agree
   
   av. = 4.38  
   dev. = 1.06  
   n = 8

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.

   ![Histogram](histogram2.png)

   1. Strongly Disagree 5 - Strongly Agree
   
   av. = 4.13  
   dev. = 1.13  
   n = 8

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.

   ![Histogram](histogram3.png)

   1. Strongly Disagree 5 - Strongly Agree
   
   av. = 4.75  
   dev. = 0.71  
   n = 8

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.

   ![Histogram](histogram4.png)

   1. Strongly Disagree 5 - Strongly Agree
   
   av. = 4.63  
   dev. = 0.74  
   n = 8

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.

   ![Histogram](histogram5.png)

   1. Strongly Disagree 5 - Strongly Agree
   
   av. = 4.5  
   dev. = 0.76  
   n = 8

6. My overall rating of the course is:

   ![Histogram](histogram6.png)

   1 - Poor 5 - Excellent
   
   av. = 4.13  
   dev. = 0.99  
   n = 8

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

   ![Histogram](histogram7.png)

   1 - Poor 5 - Excellent
   
   av. = 4.38  
   dev. = 1.06  
   n = 8
x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: Excellent
4: Above Average
3: Average
2: Below Average
1: Poor

y: 6. My overall rating of the course is:
5: Excellent
4: Above Average
3: Average
2: Below Average
1: Poor

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: Excellent
4: Above Average
3: Average
2: Below Average
1: Poor

y: 1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and
5: Strongly Agree
4: Agree
3: Neutral
2: Disagree
1: Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: Excellent
4: Above Average
3: Average
2: Below Average
1: Poor

y: 2. The instructor communicated information effectively.
5: Strongly Agree
4: Agree
3: Neutral
2: Disagree
1: Strongly Disagree
x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree
Profile

Subunit: College of Liberal and Fine Arts
Name of the instructor: Matthias Hofferberth
Name of the course: 33242 POL 3763 91F Globalization

Values used in the profile line: Mean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Score Distribution</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.</td>
<td>5 - Strongly Agree</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The instructor communicated information effectively.</td>
<td>5 - Strongly Agree</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.</td>
<td>5 - Strongly Agree</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.</td>
<td>5 - Strongly Agree</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.</td>
<td>5 - Strongly Agree</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. My overall rating of the course is:</td>
<td>5 - Excellent</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:</td>
<td>5 - Excellent</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Your written comments are an important part of your course and instructor evaluation. Please provide feedback in the box below on aspects of the course and/or the instructor that were most effective or need improvement. Student comments will be provided to your instructor only after course grades have been submitted. The instructor may, but is not obligated to, share these comments with others or include them in any evaluation process.

- Great class and a very good teaching method.
- I enjoyed how the professor was very forward and active in responding back to class concerns and progress. Let me know that the professor cared and understood my concern about my learning.
- I enjoyed the class and the ease in which the information was available to us because there really was so much material to cover in this 5 week period. Everything was laid out nicely and the objectives of the course were made very clear and required an active role in one's own learning. It was one of the best classes that I have had here at UTSA.
- It was a very well thought out class with a vast amount of engagement that helped the learning process. The papers and tests were a bit on the difficult side, based upon the grading scale not topics or content.
- This course was difficult because of the subject matter and it being a little vague to understand but was taught well, which made the class fun and entertaining.