1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.

   5 - Strongly Agree 37.5% 50% 12.5% 0% 0% 1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=8 av.=4.25 dev.=0.71

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.

   5 - Strongly Agree 25% 37.5% 12.5% 12.5% 12.5% 1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=9 av.=3.5 dev.=1.41

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.

   5 - Strongly Agree 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=8 av. 5 dev. 0

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.

   5 - Strongly Agree 75% 25% 0% 0% 0% 1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=8 av.=4.75 dev.=0.46

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.

   5 - Strongly Agree 37.5% 50% 12.5% 0% 0% 1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=8 av.=4.25 dev.=0.71

6. My overall rating of the course is:

   5 - Excellent 50% 37.5% 0% 12.5% 0% 1 - Poor
   n=8 av.=4.25 dev.=1.04

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

   5 - Excellent 50% 25% 0% 25% 0% 1 - Poor
   n=8 av.=4.0 dev.=1.31
1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and
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2. The instructor communicated information effectively.
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3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
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4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
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   1. Strongly Agree | 5. Strongly Disagree
   
   - av. = 4.75
   - dev. = 0.46
   - n = 8

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
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   1. Strongly Agree | 5. Strongly Disagree
   
   - av. = 4.25
   - dev. = 0.71
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6. My overall rating of the course is:
   
   ![Histogram](image6)
   
   1. Poor | 5. Excellent
   
   - av. = 4
   - dev. = 1.31
   - n = 8

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
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   1. Poor | 5. Excellent
   
   - av. = 4
   - dev. = 1.31
   - n = 8
x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 6. My overall rating of the course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 2. The instructor communicated information effectively.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree
x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree
Profile

Subunit: College of Liberal and Fine Arts
Name of the instructor: Matthias Hofferberth
Name of the course: 17180 GLA 3213 001 Theories of Int'l Relations (Includes records from 17545)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.
   5 - Strongly Agree | 1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=8 av.=4.45 md=4.00 dev.=0.71

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.
   5 - Strongly Agree | 1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=8 av.=3.50 md=4.00 dev.=1.41

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
   5 - Strongly Agree | 1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=8 av.=5.00 md=5.00 dev.=0.00

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
   5 - Strongly Agree | 1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=8 av.=4.75 md=5.00 dev.=0.46

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
   5 - Strongly Agree | 1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=8 av.=4.25 md=4.00 dev.=0.71

6. My overall rating of the course is:
   5 - Excellent | 1 - Poor
   n=8 av.=4.50 md=4.50 dev.=1.31

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
   5 - Excellent | 1 - Poor
   n=8 av.=4.00 md=4.50 dev.=1.31
Your written comments are an important part of your course and instructor evaluation. Please provide feedback in the box below on aspects of the course and/or the instructor that were most effective or need improvement. Student comments will be provided to your instructor only after course grades have been submitted. The instructor may, but is not obligated to, share these comments with others or include them in any evaluation process.

- Dr. Hofferberth has been a pleasure to learn from. His unique perspective is refreshing in the field of International Relations and this course itself has been an excellent learning experience.

- Excellent professor! Showed incredible interest in student learning. Lectures were interesting, interactive, and included significant discussion.

- I enjoyed this class very much, and I enjoyed this professor as I have chosen to take his classes twice. I feel that we are challenged and encourage to participate and I learn well from that method. It is my favorite class because it is interactive and more than a lecture. it is challenging but in a good way, I actually do my readings!

- Some of the most difficult material I've come across to conceptualize and fully understand but the instructor did a superb job facilitating the student's own thought processes to reach a conclusion on the subject matter

- The group exercises were very helpful to understand readings and lectures. Too much substance for readings per night

- The professor in this class has a very different, very Socratic method of teaching. I am always willing to take a step to learn on my own, and this course certainly required that. While I would complete the readings required for the class, I was never prepared enough for the questions posed in class, usually because I didn't understand the language. Eventually, I stopped attending class because I was afraid of being belittled in class by the professor for not understanding the material.

  I would certainly close this class off from anyone outside the Political Science program.

- This class requires a lot of critical thinking skills, which I feel that I did not get an opportunity to lean/explore while at UTSA until I took this class which made it very difficult to learn or even understand most of the course material.