1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.
   5 - Strongly Agree: 72.2%
   4 - Agree: 22.2%
   3 - Neutral: 5.6%
   2 - Disagree: 0%
   1 - Strongly Disagree: 0%
   n=18
   av.=4.67
   dev.=0.59

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.
   5 - Strongly Agree: 55.6%
   4 - Agree: 38.9%
   3 - Neutral: 5.6%
   2 - Disagree: 0%
   1 - Strongly Disagree: 0%
   n=18
   av.=4.5
   dev.=0.62

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
   5 - Strongly Agree: 83.3%
   4 - Agree: 11.1%
   3 - Neutral: 5.6%
   2 - Disagree: 0%
   1 - Strongly Disagree: 0%
   n=18
   av.=4.78
   dev.=0.55

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
   5 - Strongly Agree: 83.3%
   4 - Agree: 16.7%
   3 - Neutral: 0%
   2 - Disagree: 0%
   1 - Strongly Disagree: 0%
   n=18
   av.=4.83
   dev.=0.38

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
   5 - Strongly Agree: 77.8%
   4 - Agree: 16.7%
   3 - Neutral: 5.6%
   2 - Disagree: 0%
   1 - Strongly Disagree: 0%
   n=18
   av.=4.72
   dev.=0.57

6. My overall rating of the course is:
   5 - Excellent: 50%
   4 - Good: 16.7%
   3 - Neutral: 27.8%
   2 - Poor: 5.6%
   1 - Very Poor: 0%
   n=18
   av.=4.11
   dev.=1.02

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
   5 - Excellent: 66.7%
   4 - Good: 27.8%
   3 - Neutral: 5.6%
   2 - Poor: 0%
   1 - Very Poor: 0%
   n=18
   av.=4.61
   dev.=0.61
1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and:

   - Strongly Agree: 72% (n = 18)
   - Strongly Disagree: 22%
   - Average: 4.67
   - Standard Deviation: 0.59

2. The instructor communicated information effectively:

   - Strongly Agree: 56% (n = 18)
   - Strongly Disagree: 39%
   - Average: 4.5
   - Standard Deviation: 0.62

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period:

   - Strongly Agree: 57% (n = 18)
   - Strongly Disagree: 43%
   - Average: 4.78
   - Standard Deviation: 0.55

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning:

   - Strongly Agree: 83% (n = 18)
   - Strongly Disagree: 17%
   - Average: 4.83
   - Standard Deviation: 0.38

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person:

   - Strongly Agree: 78% (n = 18)
   - Strongly Disagree: 22%
   - Average: 4.72
   - Standard Deviation: 0.57

6. My overall rating of the course is:

   - Excellent: 50% (n = 18)
   - Poor: 17%
   - Average: 4.11
   - Standard Deviation: 1.02

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

   - Excellent: 87% (n = 18)
   - Poor: 13%
   - Average: 4.61
   - Standard Deviation: 0.61
x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 6. My overall rating of the course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 2. The instructor communicated information effectively.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree
x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree
Profile

Subunit: College of Liberal and Fine Arts
Name of the instructor: Matthias Hofferberth
Name of the course: 17848 GLA 4973 001 Seminar-Multinat_I Enterprises

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.
   5 - Strongly Agree  |  1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=18  av.=4.67 md=5.00 dev.=0.59

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.
   5 - Strongly Agree  |  1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=18  av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.62

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
   5 - Strongly Agree  |  1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=18  av.=4.78 md=5.00 dev.=0.55

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
   5 - Strongly Agree  |  1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=18  av.=4.83 md=5.00 dev.=0.38

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
   5 - Strongly Agree  |  1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=18  av.=4.72 md=5.00 dev.=0.57

6. My overall rating of the course is:
   5 - Excellent  |  1 - Poor
   n=18  av.=4.11 md=4.50 dev.=1.02

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
   5 - Excellent  |  1 - Poor
   n=18  av.=4.61 md=5.00 dev.=0.61
Your written comments are an important part of your course and instructor evaluation. Please provide feedback in the box below on aspects of the course and/or the instructor that were most effective or need improvement. Student comments will be provided to your instructor only after course grades have been submitted. The instructor may, but is not obligated to, share these comments with others or include them in any evaluation process.

- Challenging class and the overall content and format of the class was good. I think some of the assignments could have been explained a bit better but overall a good class

- Dr. Hofferberth put a significant amount of effort into ensuring the class environment was conducive to great conversation and debate, which in a course like this was invaluable. The debates and discussions in class led to the semester project, our papers, being fairly painless to execute.

- Excellent teaching, effective communicator, defined objectives clearly.

- Great job, no complaints.

- None of the lower courses actually taught me how to conduct research. In light of this I struggle to actually comprehend what it is that we are trying to do for our research papers.

- The group work helped engage me more.

- This class was very informative with the topic. Although I do not have a passion for global politics, or multinational corporations, this course really gave me a new perspective on multinational enterprises. I believe Dr. Hofferberth was prepared every class and was available at all times, with extremely fast email replies, and office hours that fit everyone's schedule. I would recommend his class to people that really are into global politics, and international systems that deal with law. Great course!

- This senior seminar has taken me by storm and I am now on my path toward a smooth sailing sea and can almost taste the sand of my paradise. I do not mean this however in a demeaning manner, simple the class is very labor intensive because it is a Senior seminar. But, the organization should be completely rethought by the Poli Sci department. Perhaps a seminar that is divided into two terms one that covers the course's primary topic and background and allows for exams, followed by the senior assignment portion in the semester following. This will allow professors such as Hofferberth to teach on a subject that have a passion for and pass that onto their students. I feel the professor's want for us as students to take it in, but Multinational Enterprises are very complex systems and structures that I personally feel cannot be crammed into a semester. This is like writing a thesis paper the night it is due.