1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.

6. My overall rating of the course is:

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
Histogram for scaled questions

1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and
   
   - 5: Strongly Agree: 69%
   - 1: Strongly Disagree: 21%
   - n = 26
   
2. The instructor communicated information effectively.
   
   - 5: Strongly Agree: 73%
   - 1: Strongly Disagree: 19%
   - n = 26
   
3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
   
   - 5: Strongly Agree: 95%
   - 1: Strongly Disagree: 5%
   - n = 26
   
4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
   
   - 5: Strongly Agree: 84%
   - 1: Strongly Disagree: 12%
   - n = 25
   
5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
   
   - 5: Strongly Agree: 88%
   - 1: Strongly Disagree: 8%
   - n = 26
   
6. My overall rating of the course is:
   
   - 5: Excellent: 80%
   - 1: Poor: 20%
   - n = 26
   
7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
   
   - 5: Excellent: 60%
   - 1: Poor: 10%
   - n = 26
x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

5: Excellent
4: Above Average
3: Average
2: Below Average
1: Poor

y: 6. My overall rating of the course is:

5: Excellent
4: Above Average
3: Average
2: Below Average
1: Poor

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

5: Strongly Agree
4: Agree
3: Neutral
2: Disagree
1: Strongly Disagree

y: 1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and

5: Strongly Agree
4: Agree
3: Neutral
2: Disagree
1: Strongly Disagree

y: 2. The instructor communicated information effectively.

5: Strongly Agree
4: Agree
3: Neutral
2: Disagree
1: Strongly Disagree
x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree
Profile

Subunit: College of Liberal and Fine Arts
Name of the instructor: Matthias Hofferberth
Name of the course: 27271 GLA 1013 001 US in the Global Arena (2016201-27271)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations. 5 - Strongly Agree 1 - Strongly Disagree n=26 av.=4.65 md=5.00 dev.=0.56
2. The instructor communicated information effectively. 5 - Strongly Agree 1 - Strongly Disagree n=26 av.=4.58 md=5.00 dev.=0.90
3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period. 5 - Strongly Agree 1 - Strongly Disagree n=26 av.=4.85 md=5.00 dev.=0.46
4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning. 5 - Strongly Agree 1 - Strongly Disagree n=25 av.=4.80 md=5.00 dev.=0.50
5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person. 5 - Strongly Agree 1 - Strongly Disagree n=26 av.=4.85 md=5.00 dev.=0.46
6. My overall rating of the course is: 5 - Excellent 1 - Poor n=26 av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.76
7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is: 5 - Excellent 1 - Poor n=26 av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.76
Your written comments are an important part of your course and instructor evaluation. Please provide feedback in the box below on aspects of the course and/or the instructor that were most effective or need improvement. Student comments will be provided to your instructor only after course grades have been submitted. The instructor may, but is not obligated to, share these comments with others or include them in any evaluation process.

- Best teacher I have taken so far at UTSA. An obvious knowledge of the subject and a very interesting teaching style. Would recommend any class with Mr. Hofferberth, and plan to take as many as I can throughout my time here. Really Enjoyed this class!

- Dr. Matthias Hoberbeth, is being one of the best professors I ever had. He is always willing to help to all the students, specially those who struggle on his class due language barriers or personal problems and he makes sure the students work as hardest possible to earn the grade they deserve.

- Great course, did not like the online portion.

- He's a great teacher, he is very willing to help if you have any questions or need help. The only drawback to me was the way he formats his exams online there was never enough time which had an effect on my grade. Overall, a great teacher.

- I absolutely enjoyed this class to the point where I decided to actually buy the textbook! Professor Hofferberth is an excellent instructor; I had no idea someone could talk for an hour and fifteen minutes and still hold the attention of the audience. He met with me outside of class on short notice and still managed to help me sort out my wiki entry. My first experience with a hybrid class went very well!

- I enjoyed the class very much and plan to take the instructor in future classes.

- I thought the course was very interesting and informative. I enjoyed the lecture material and what we learned. The course was clearly outlined and structured and made for a great introduction to US foreign policy. I do believe that the exams were pretty tough. There were many open answer questions and an hour just wasn't enough time to get all of my answers and thoughts complete. Other than that I enjoyed the course and learned a lot this semester.

- Professor Hofferberth does a great job in the presentation of all of his materials for the class lectures. With the course that I took being a hybrid course, I found it very easy to get a hold of Professor Hofferberth when I was in need of assistance or had a question and he always emphasized his availability with office hours.

- Professor Hofferberth suffers most from not clearly stating instructions. When assigning homework, he is very vague about the due dates (with the exception of his online modules). Usually, he just says "get started" on an assignment.

That brings me to the class wiki. The class wiki is perhaps the most cumbersome and inefficient system I have had the displeasure of working with this semester. This class wiki is something the students must conform to. It is unnecessary and when writing wiki entries, it seems like a composition class. If I recall correctly, "US in the Global Arena" does not imply writing 1000-word wiki entries. These wiki entries are nothing but filler content in his course. So far, we have only had two tests, not nearly enough for someone who falls behind early in the semester. Why not replace those wiki entries with tests?

Overall, I do not like Professor Hofferberth's teaching methods and especially the class wiki.

- So he was a great teacher very knowledgeable and easy to learn from. Plus his German jokes were a plus.

- The Timed exams did not help in general. With two Exams throughout the semester there is a lack of understanding what should be studied. In general I enjoyed the class and will like to take more classes with this professor.

- The most interesting aspect of this class was that the professor moved to the United States from Germany. Therefore, you get an unbiased perspective on the United States' role in the global arena from the outside looking in. I enjoyed this class, and learned a lot of usefull information that has made me successful in other areas of study, such as history and geography.

- The online discussion forum would have benefited greatly from being a requirement in the sense of communication. Most students only posted their assignments, and rarely engaged with each other in a meaningful capacity. Requiring interaction might prove helpful in encouraging in-class understanding.

- The only thing about this class that bothered me was the exam format, too much to do on a timed exam.

- The professor was helpful, and always prepared to lecture. The online portion was somewhat confusing at the start but there was just a learning curve and became easier to manage after some time.
- The teaching style was definitely intimidating at first, but definitely helped with reaching my full potential and fosters a sense of active participation in your education. Sometimes the tickets to class could be a little vague, but over all was not a problem and reading through other students answers really added to any deficiencies.

- This professor is excellent. He takes a genuine interest in each students active participation and attendance. He always strives to give assistance and be understanding while still upholding the integrelty of his course and teaching style. I appreiciated his help and is effort and continued support and concern for my education.

- This was/is an incredibly interesting hybrid class. The Wiki/World Map are very intriguing parts of the class. The exams can be tricky but fair. And Professor Hofferberth came extremely prepared to every class with hopes of sparking classroom engagement

- Very effective Professor. For only having one day to teach during the week, I found it very informative and interesting overall. Also interesting to hear the perspective of the US from someone who has lived extensively outside the country! Triple A's WHOOP WHOOP!

- Very well taught, professor has extensive knowledge of course material, and did a great job of extending that knowledge to students during the lectures.