1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.

6. My overall rating of the course is:

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and
   - Strongly Disagree 1 - Strongly Agree
   \[ \text{av.} = 4.05 \]
   \[ \text{dev.} = 1.07 \]
   \[ n = 21 \]

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.
   - Strongly Disagree 1 - Strongly Agree
   \[ \text{av.} = 4.05 \]
   \[ \text{dev.} = 0.86 \]
   \[ n = 21 \]

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
   - Strongly Disagree 1 - Strongly Agree
   \[ \text{av.} = 4.67 \]
   \[ \text{dev.} = 0.48 \]
   \[ n = 21 \]

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
   - Strongly Disagree 1 - Strongly Agree
   \[ \text{av.} = 4.1 \]
   \[ \text{dev.} = 1.18 \]
   \[ n = 21 \]

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
   - Strongly Disagree 1 - Strongly Agree
   \[ \text{av.} = 4.48 \]
   \[ \text{dev.} = 0.81 \]
   \[ n = 21 \]

6. My overall rating of the course is:
   - Poor 1 - Excellent
   \[ \text{av.} = 3.9 \]
   \[ \text{dev.} = 1.14 \]
   \[ n = 21 \]

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
   - Poor 1 - Excellent
   \[ \text{av.} = 4 \]
   \[ \text{dev.} = 1 \]
   \[ n = 21 \]
x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 6. My overall rating of the course is:

5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and

5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 2. The instructor communicated information effectively.

5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree
x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree
# Profile

**Subunit:** College of Liberal and Fine Arts  
**Name of the instructor:** Matthias Hofferberth  
**Name of the course:** 29149 POL 4973 002 Seminar- The UN

Values used in the profile line: Mean

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.</td>
<td>5 - Strongly Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>n=21</td>
<td>av.=4.05 md=4.00 dev.=1.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The instructor communicated information effectively.</td>
<td>5 - Strongly Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>n=21</td>
<td>av.=4.05 md=4.00 dev.=0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.</td>
<td>5 - Strongly Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>n=21</td>
<td>av.=4.67 md=5.00 dev.=0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.</td>
<td>5 - Strongly Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>n=21</td>
<td>av.=4.10 md=4.00 dev.=1.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.</td>
<td>5 - Strongly Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>n=21</td>
<td>av.=4.48 md=5.00 dev.=0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. My overall rating of the course is:</td>
<td>5 - Excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Poor</td>
<td>n=21</td>
<td>av.=3.90 md=4.00 dev.=1.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:</td>
<td>5 - Excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - Poor</td>
<td>n=21</td>
<td>av.=4.00 md=4.00 dev.=1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Your written comments are an important part of your course and instructor evaluation. Please provide feedback in the box below on aspects of the course and/or the instructor that were most effective or need improvement. Student comments will be provided to your instructor only after course grades have been submitted. The instructor may, but is not obligated to, share these comments with others or include them in any evaluation process.

- Disappointed that the survey is made quasi-mandatory by implementing it as part of the class grade, albeit a minute percentage.

- Every week this professor comes prepared with a lesson plan, and a structure with which to teach it. He is organized, educated, and stern. He sets deadlines and expectations for us that are fair, and at the same time demanding. He interacts with the class, knowing us all by name (something professors don't even bother with) engages us in discussion, group activities, and really connects by knowing the material. All in all, he is an actual teacher, something this school needs more of.

- I honestly believe that Professor H has been the most organized professor that I have ever had here at UTSA. Usually most professors just teach in a manner that is more of where discussion will take the class. Professor H had every session class prepared and ready to go. I enjoyed his class, although, I am very shy and his class was very engaged in open discussion.

- I really enjoyed this class and Dr. Hofferberth's no nonsense approach to it. The only issue I had was with the paper component of the course. I felt like I had no direction in exactly what was required in the papers and I felt like none of my previous classes prepared me to write this paper. I also wish that the paper would have been due around the midterm instead of later in the semester. That was if my research proposal is way off course, I still have time to get on track. As it is now, if I didn't do well and I'm not at all confident that I did, I'm going to be scrambling to get things in order for the final paper.

  Overall, I enjoyed the class and think that UTSA needs more professors like Dr. Hofferberth.

- I wish if he change the way of grading because he is hard grader to me. I am always participation in the class but he give me lower grade than what I deserve

- My only gripe about the course is that I would have liked to see model examples of each of our writing assignments.

- Professor Hofferberth is clearly knowledgeable on the subjects included in the course. He is incredibly organized, but his teaching style is a bit worn. The class gets repetitive, and it would help Hofferberth to change the narrow nature of the course with a more dynamic one.

- The course was great! However, I fell out of love with the UN. It has nothing to do with the instructor, just personal interests; I am steered into a different field within IR.

  The material was taught well. The instructor had high expectations, and rightfully so. I highly recommend another course taught by Hofferberth!

- The professor was very organized and available, he used different methods to engage the class, as a matter a fact it was the class where their was more students involved in class discussions a out of all 12 hours I took, he cares and really tries he's a great .

- This course appeared to be a very interesting course at first but the content was underwhelming and the paper assignments were overwhelming. The in-class work was boring overall. The model UN project was great and the world-citizen vs. us-citizen argument was really cool but overall the group work was basic and not thought-provoking. I wish we could have learned more history and more detail about issues and initiatives. You were always well-prepared and informative but my interest in the subject really diminished as the semester went on. I wasn't engaging with the material in class like I would have liked to.