1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.
   5 - Strongly Agree
   57.1% 38.1% 0% 0% 4.8%
   1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=21
   av.=4.43
dev.=0.93

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.
   5 - Strongly Agree
   47.6% 38.1% 9.5% 0% 4.8%
   1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=21
   av.=4.24
dev.=1

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
   5 - Strongly Agree
   85.7% 9.5% 4.8% 0% 0%
   1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=21
   av.=4.81
dev.=0.51

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
   5 - Strongly Agree
   61.9% 19% 14.3% 0% 4.8%
   1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=21
   av.=4.33
dev.=1.06

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
   5 - Strongly Agree
   71.4% 23.8% 0% 4.8% 0%
   1 - Strongly Disagree
   n=21
   av.=4.62
dev.=0.74

6. My overall rating of the course is:
   5 - Excellent
   28.6% 57.1% 9.5% 0% 4.8%
   1 - Poor
   n=21
   av.=4.05
dev.=0.92

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
   5 - Excellent
   61.9% 23.8% 9.5% 0% 4.8%
   1 - Poor
   n=21
   av.=4.38
dev.=1.02
1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and

   - av. = 4.43
   - dev. = 0.93
   - n = 21

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.

   - av. = 4.24
   - dev. = 1
   - n = 21

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.

   - av. = 4.81
   - dev. = 0.51
   - n = 21

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.

   - av. = 4.33
   - dev. = 1.06
   - n = 21

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.

   - av. = 4.62
   - dev. = 0.74
   - n = 21

6. My overall rating of the course is:

   - av. = 4.05
   - dev. = 0.92
   - n = 21

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

   - av. = 4.38
   - dev. = 1.02
   - n = 21
### x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

### y: 6. My overall rating of the course is:

5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

### x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

### y: 1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and

5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

### x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:

5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

### y: 2. The instructor communicated information effectively.

5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree

x: 7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
5: 5 - Excellent
4: 4 - Above Average
3: 3 - Average
2: 2 - Below Average
1: 1 - Poor

y: 5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
5: 5 - Strongly Agree
4: 4 - Agree
3: 3 - Neutral
2: 2 - Disagree
1: 1 - Strongly Disagree
Profile

Subunit: College of Liberal and Fine Arts
Name of the instructor: Matthias Hofferberth
Name of the course: 27272 POL 1213 002 Topics-TX/Am- US _and_ the World
(No contact for the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. The instructor clearly defined and explained the course objectives and expectations.
   - Strongly Agree
   - Strongly Disagree
   n=21    av.=4.43 md=5.00 dev.=0.93

2. The instructor communicated information effectively.
   - Strongly Agree
   - Strongly Disagree
   n=21    av.=4.24 md=4.00 dev.=1.00

3. The instructor was prepared to teach for each instructional period.
   - Strongly Agree
   - Strongly Disagree
   n=21    av.=4.81 md=5.00 dev.=0.51

4. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my learning.
   - Strongly Agree
   - Strongly Disagree
   n=21    av.=4.33 md=5.00 dev.=1.06

5. The instructor was available outside of class either electronically or in person.
   - Strongly Agree
   - Strongly Disagree
   n=21    av.=4.62 md=5.00 dev.=0.74

6. My overall rating of the course is:
   - Excellent
   - Poor
   n=21    av.=4.05 md=4.00 dev.=0.92

7. My overall rating of the teaching of this course is:
   - Excellent
   - Poor
   n=21    av.=4.38 md=5.00 dev.=1.02
Your written comments are an important part of your course and instructor evaluation. Please provide feedback in the box below on aspects of the course and/or the instructor that were most effective or need improvement. Student comments will be provided to your instructor only after course grades have been submitted. The instructor may, but is not obligated to, share these comments with others or include them in any evaluation process.

- Awesome teacher, awesome class. Learned a lot and enjoyed everything. I could of used more explanation at the beginning of the class for how things worked, but I managed fine either way. Very effective in teaching what needed to be taught and keeping students engaged with events.

- Extremely difficult class for a beginner course class. Professor has a high school teacher mentality of calling out people if they haven't completed an assignment infront of everyone in class. Obviously has teacher's pets, his favorites in the class. Definitely lowered my interest in ever associating myself with this course. Exam was way too hard, it's only one exam and it was timed with 30 minutes with 30 questions and the questions had multiple answers, not just either a or b; ranged from either a, b, c, and g. Horrific grader on assignments, made me lose motivation in even trying to do my hardest because apparently it wasn't enough to sastify his needs. I have A's in every class except for this class where, i could be even failing which would determine my future.

- I had almost no background in foreign policy. The class was a terrific overview of American foreign policy and Prof Hofferberth's approach was excellant.

- I really liked how this class was different and how we met once a week. We didn't have a bunch of tests, but we got to write four wiki entries which I really liked. The wiki entries allowed me to do more of my own research and I learned a lot from it. I really liked Professor Hofferberth- he explained concepts very well and also allowed us to engage in the lectures. I now have a new profound love for foreign policy and it has led me to look at things from a new and different perspective. To improve this class, I would say to extend the time on the only online quiz of the year. I found the quiz to be a little difficult because I was very rushed.

- I would prefer a class tough by a American professor. But over all not bad.

- The class was very informative and had a great impact on my personal life

- The interactive style of the class is motivating in that it requires engagement from everybody, however, verbal participation should not be part of the grade due to the differences in personality styles. It helps others yet hurts other and should not be a tool to dictate how well one does in the class. Other than that the class is enjoyable, the professor does a great job of laying out expectations but would recommend improving on communicating (written or verbally) what expectations mean, so as to ensure that all students understand how to achieve exemplary expectations.

- The teacher goes off of the book. I believe the single midterm exam he gave us should not have been timed for 30 minutes only due to the fact that there was multiple answers on the questions, leaving it difficult to go through all 30 problems in a timely manor.

- This course is a hybrid course that only meets once a week and i do not believe that once a week is enough time to properly learn all materials attempting to be taught. it requires much more attention and effort than any other class, even the more difficult courses, and when taken along with a full course load it is much more overwhelming than anticipated. Professor Hofferberth is a great professor, he helps students who seek it and provides good feedback. He conducts the course in a professional manner expected of senior and junior level courses and makes an effort to add extra tutoring sessions because this class is only once a week. will definitely take him again if given the chance. Only problem with course is hybrid format, every other aspect is course and professor is excellent.